



FILED

Consular Court

House Of Judah

September 14, 2026

8:35 AM

HOUSE OF JUDAH CONSULAR COURT TRIBUNAL XIII

COURT OF RECORD

princess emilily hotep el Trustee/
beneficiary Monica Rene Padgett/Hall
Business Trust & prince ra hotep el
executor/beneficiary David Jr Hall
Business Trust

Claimants,

vs.

STATE OF NEVADA
OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY
GENERAL, State Municipality[s] all
agents actors in their personal capacity and
public et, al,... Nevada

Respondents/Defendants

)
) **Claim No.** Case No. OTH-25
) [ALMC]OTH22/856043
) Notice of Algorithmic Misassociation,
) Defamation, and Intent to Hold Liable. To the
) **CONTINUED ORDER AND**
) **FINDING OF VOID-AB-INITIO**
) **DEFECTS**
) **IDENTITY • PARTY CAPACITY • AUTHORITY**
) **CHAIN • PROPERTY • WRIT EXECUTION •**
) **RECORD PRESERVATION**
) *Related prior Consular Court record: April 1,*
) *2021 Default Judgment, writ of executions, UCC*
) *liens and subsequent orders, notices, other writs,*
) *exhibits, and preserved record*
) **RELATED FEDERAL APPELLATE**
) **PROCEEDING: U.S. COURT OF APPEALS**
) **FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT —**
) *CASE NO. 22-70183 — PETITION FOR WRIT*
) *OF MANDAMUS IDENTITY • PARTY CAPACITY*
) **TC20610 FOREIGN JUDGMENT**
) **CONSULAR COURT Cc: - OCC**
) **Case No. CS0403162 - DOJ Case**
) **No.311050-DSK - DOJ Report No.**
) **318923-TFT**

CONTINUED ORDER AND FINDING OF VOID-AB-INITIO DEFECTS

RELATED FEDERAL APPELLATE PROCEEDING: U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT —

CASE NO. 22-70183 — PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS IDENTITY • PARTY CAPACITY •

AUTHORITY CHAIN • PROPERTY • WRIT EXECUTION • RECORD PRESERVATION

*Related prior Consular Court record: April 1, 2021 Default Judgment, writ of executions, UCC liens and
subsequent orders, notices, other writs, exhibits, and preserved record*

I. PURPOSE AND LIMITED CHARACTER OF THIS CONTINUED ORDER

This Continued Order supplements the Court's prior record and addresses defects asserted to have existed from the beginning of the disputed foreclosure, possession, eviction, collection, and related proceedings. The Court's present determination is made within the House of Judah Consular Court record. Filing, service, receipt, docketing, or preservation of this Order in another tribunal or agency establishes notice and chronology to the extent independently shown; it does not, by itself, represent that a Nevada, federal, Illinois, or other receiving court has adopted this Court's determination.

II. INCORPORATION OF PRIOR RECORD

The Court incorporates by reference its prior orders, judgments, default record, exhibits, service records, identity and capacity records, police and agency reports, property records, HOA correspondence, foreclosure records, writ records, and later preservation and record-correction materials. The Court further notes the submitting parties' identification of an April 1, 2021 Consular Court VIII default judgment concerning Ally Bank and related damages. That earlier judgment is treated here as part of this Court's own prior record and should be attached or cited by exact instrument number, docket reference, and certified copy when this Continued Order is transmitted outside the Court.

The related federal appellate record is identified as U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit Case No. 22-70183, Petition for Writ of Mandamus. This reference identifies the related appellate proceeding and does not represent that the Ninth Circuit issued or adopted the House of Judah Consular Court judgment or this Continued Order.

III. FINDINGS CONCERNING IDENTITY AND PARTY CAPACITY

The record presented to this Court distinguishes natural persons, trustees, executors, beneficiaries, estate/business trusts, trade or assumed names, and other capacities. A similar spelling, abbreviated name, trade name, or generic occupant designation does not, without supporting evidence, establish that every such name is the same person, debtor, trustee, executor, beneficiary, or property-owning entity.

The Court finds, for purposes of its own record, that later process directed only to an incorrectly identified person, bare trust designation, trade-name presentation, or generic DOE occupant cannot be treated by this Court as proof that the actual Trustee, Executor, Beneficiary, or distinct Trust was properly named, served, subjected to personal jurisdiction, or bound in the representative capacity required for the property or obligation at issue.

The record further reflects the submitting parties' position that attempts to enter the Nevada proceedings in their actual trustee/executor/beneficiary capacities were rejected on the stated ground that they were not parties. That circumstance is material to this Court's void-ab-initio analysis because a tribunal cannot simultaneously exclude a person or fiduciary as a nonparty and then, without an independent jurisdictional basis, treat that excluded person's or entity's property as though the person had been made a party.

IV. HOA NOTICE AND SEPARATE COUNSEL

The preserved record identifies LKG / John E. Leach as counsel for Timberlake Street and Landscape Maintenance Association in January 2021. The correspondence is material because it addressed the Hotep El names while discussing the Monica Rene Hall assessor record, demonstrating that the HOA/counsel record contained more than one identity/capacity presentation before the later possession proceedings.

The Court therefore finds that any later pleading, referral, foreclosure instruction, ownership assertion, or possession demand should be reconciled against the identity information already in the HOA/counsel record, including the source from which any later party name, debtor name, owner name, alias designation, or claimed authority was derived.

V. ALDRIDGE PITE LLP / EHLERS AUTHORITY AND EVIDENTIARY CHAIN

The later Justice Court record identifies Aldridge Pite LLP and counsel in papers filed for Federal National Mortgage Association, successors or assigns, in Case No. 23C006611. The appearance of licensed counsel and a

bar number identifies counsel and permits counsel to appear subject to applicable rules; it does not itself establish the client's ownership interest, debt, assignment, servicing authority, title interest, right to possession, identity allegation, or evidentiary foundation.

Accordingly, the Court requires the record to identify the bridge between the earlier HOA/counsel record and the later Aldridge Pite/Fannie Mae-related filings: the actual client; date and scope of engagement; source of instructions; chain of assignment or servicing authority; claimed ownership or possession interest; documents supporting standing; identity/capacity information received; communications or referrals connecting the actors; and the evidence supporting each material factual allegation.

No finding of conspiracy, fraud, collusion, or professional misconduct is made solely from the fact that different lawyers or firms appeared at different stages. Those questions depend upon the actual engagement, communications, duties, knowledge, timing, and source documents.

VI. PRIOR ALLY BANK DEFAULT RECORD AND DAMAGES

The Court preserves and reaffirms, as part of its own record, the submitting parties' reliance upon the April 1, 2021 Consular Court VIII default judgment and related Ally Bank damages record. The Court further preserves the position that subsequent actors were required to confront, rebut, distinguish, or otherwise address the prior record when relying upon the same underlying identity, debt, property, vehicle, credit, foreclosure, or collection relationships.

For transmission to an outside court or agency, this paragraph shall not be represented as an independent Nevada or federal adjudication of Ally Bank's liability or damages unless the receiving jurisdiction has separately recognized or entered such judgment. The certified April 1, 2021 instrument and its service/default foundation should accompany any request for recognition or enforcement.

VII. POLICE, IC3, DOJ, AND IDENTITY-THEFT RECORD

The preserved record includes police, IC3, DOJ/FBI-related, and other governmental reports concerning alleged identity misuse, title theft, disputed foreclosure authority, HOA interference, property removal, and related conduct. Such reports establish reporting, notice, chronology, and the contents submitted to the receiving agency; unless independently adjudicated or admitted, they are not treated here as proof that every allegation was substantiated by the agency.

The Court nevertheless finds those reports material because they predate or accompany portions of the disputed enforcement chain and therefore must be reconciled with later pleadings and enforcement actions rather than omitted from the chronology.

VIII. TEMPORARY WRIT AND MAY 4 / MAY 10, 2023 EXECUTION ISSUE

The record identifies an April 2023 temporary writ/order containing a May 4, 2023 execution notation. The submitting parties state that, during a recorded May 10, 2023 courthouse encounter, a court-office representative pointed to the writ and explained a May 4 operational window, while the physical removal occurred May 10, 2023 at approximately 1:00 p.m. The exact recording, photograph, transcript, writ, return of service, any extension, amended writ, reissued writ, or later authorization are therefore controlling source materials.

Within this Court's record, absent production of a valid instrument authorizing execution on May 10, the Court treats the May 10 execution as unsupported by the May 4 instrument identified in the preserved record. This finding is expressly conditioned upon the absence of a separate valid extension, amendment, reissuance, or other lawful authority.

IX. CUMULATIVE VOID-AB-INITIO FINDING

Upon the cumulative record before it, this Court finds that the asserted defects are not merely clerical when considered together: disputed identity and capacity; exclusion of trustees/executors as nonparties; disputed standing and ownership authority; an incomplete or unexplained representation/assignment chain; prior default

and damages records; contemporaneous identity-theft and governmental reporting; and execution of possession process on a date for which the presently identified writ does not itself establish authority.

Accordingly, and as a continuation of this Court's prior void-ab-initio determination, the House of Judah Consular Court Tribunal XIII declares within its own record that proceedings, instruments, and derivative acts dependent upon a missing jurisdictional foundation, wrong party or capacity, nonexistent authority, or invalid/expired execution authority are VOID AB INITIO to the extent the particular defect is established by the underlying source record.

This finding does not declare every later document void merely because it is later in time. Each judgment, writ, lien, deed, sale, eviction act, assignment, levy, or derivative instrument must be traced to the particular defect upon which it depends.

X. DERIVATIVE ACTS AND RESTORATION / CORRECTION

Where a competent issuing or reviewing tribunal determines that an underlying judgment or process is void for lack of jurisdiction, defective service, wrong party/capacity, lack of authority, or other legally sufficient voidness defect, derivative enforcement may be subject to vacatur, quashing, correction, title reconciliation, restitution, or other relief authorized by that tribunal. This Court preserves the parties' right to seek such relief under Rule 60(b)(4), an applicable state equivalent, mandamus, supervisory relief, motion to quash, title proceedings, or other authorized procedure.

XI. PRESERVATION AND PRODUCTION ORDER

All recipients and record custodians receiving this Order are requested to preserve, to the extent required or permitted by applicable law, the complete source and audit record concerning: party names and capacities; trust/trustee/executor records; client engagement and referral records; assignments and servicing records; foreclosure and title records; HOA communications; counsel communications subject to lawful privilege limitations; court filing metadata; service records; writ issuance and execution records; constable/sheriff returns; identity/alias coding; police and agency reports; and all amendments, corrections, deletions, or changes to those records.

XII. QUESTIONS REQUIRING SOURCE-SPECIFIC RECONCILIATION

1. Who was the actual claimant/client at each stage, and in what capacity?
2. What instrument established that claimant's interest in the debt, property, vehicle, title, or right to possession?
3. What exact person, trustee, executor, beneficiary, trust, trade name, or occupant was named and served?
4. What identity/capacity records were already possessed, received, or lawfully accessible when later names or aliases were used?
5. What engagement, assignment, referral, servicing, or agency document authorized Aldridge Pite LLP / counsel to act in each identified matter?
6. What evidence supported each material allegation beyond counsel's signature, appearance, or bar number?
7. What valid writ or amended authority, if any, authorized physical execution on May 10, 2023?

8. What subsequent instrument depends upon a judgment, writ, party designation, or authority that is later determined void?

XIII. ORDER

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, within the House of Judah Consular Court record, that the prior void-ab-initio determination is CONTINUED and SUPPLEMENTED by the findings above; that the prior April 1, 2021 Consular Court VIII default record and related evidence remain incorporated subject to production of the certified underlying instrument; that the identity, party-capacity, representation-authority, and writ-execution chains shall be preserved and reconciled source by source; and that no recipient shall construe mere receipt of this Order as an admission, creation of indebtedness, perfection of a lien, or adoption of this Court's determination by another jurisdiction.

SO ORDERED AND ENTERED IN THE RECORD.

Date: September 14, 2026

Judicial Officer / Authorized Court Official:

Title: Chief Justice for the Consular Court VIII

Respectfully submitted,

Chief Justice Maria Ali

Chief Justice Ali
UCC 1-308

Court Seal / Record Stamp:



In The House Of Judah Consular Court VIII Record